

### Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee

**Project Scoring Sheet** 

### Final

Project:

Seneca Rail Site

Sponsor:

Economic Development

Date Submitted:

9/26/2012

Date Scored Validated:

10/3/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 18%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 46%	Budgetary Impact 28%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep		33:0	38:0	-66.0	80/0
County Administrator	4.0	35.0	35.0.	67.0	72.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep		29.0	40.0	81.0	59,0
At-Large 1 (JR)		20.0	32,0	33.0	48.0
At Large 2 (DM)		29.0	30.0	50.0	69.0
Total Score	4.0	146.0	175.0	297.0	328.0
Average Score	0.8	29.2	35.0	59.4	65.6

	P. 11.	
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	6.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	á	14,6
Economic Development	4	35.0
Budgetary Impact Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other	10	11.9
Projects	- S	8.2
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 1)	00)	70,1



### Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee

**Project Scoring Sheet** 

### DRAFT

Project:

Seneca Rail Site

Sponsor:

**Economic Development** 

Date Submitted:

9/26/2012

Date Scored Validated:

10/3/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 10%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 40%	Sudgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep		33.0	38.0	146.0	80.0
County Administrator	4.0	35.0	35.0	67.0	72.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep	# 1	29.0	40.0	81.0	59.0
At-Large 1 (JR)		20.0	32.0	33.0	30.0
At-Large 2 (DM)		29 0	30.0	50.0	55.0
Total Score	4.0	146.0	175,0	277.0	296.0
Average Score	0.8	29.2	35.0	55.4	59.2

Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	.0/4
Goals Escablished in Adopted Plans	4	14.6
Economic Development	4	35.0
Budgetary Impact	10	40/1
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	7.A
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 10	00).	68.5

### Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet

**Project Name:** 

#### **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - SENECA RAIL SITE**

	FINAL	Chairman	Administrator	Infrastructure Advisory	At Large: JR	At Large: DM
Public Health,	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	0	0	0
Safety &	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate					
Mandates		0	2	0	0	0
Goals	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan	10	10	9	10	10
Established in	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	10	9	10	9
Adopted Plans						
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	6	6	0	5
	Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve					
	Programs	3	9	5	0	5
Economic	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	10	10	7	8
Development						
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	9	10	10	5
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential	40	•	40	_	
	Investors  Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	10 8	9 7	10 10	7 8	9
Budgetery	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	8	8	10		5
Budgetary	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7		8	
Impact	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding  Project will be economically sustainable	8 10	7	10 8	5 7	8
	Project will be economically sustainable  Project is supported by available or previously designated funding.	0	5	6	0	0
	Project is supported by available of previously designated funding.  Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings	U	3	0	U	U
	OR economies of scale	7	7	9	0	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance			,		
	budgets	5	6	8	3	7
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	4	8	0	5
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	6	8	5	7
	Project can be supported by existing resources [staff, funding, etc.]	10	8	6	5	2
	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise					
	unneeded by the proposed project	8	9	8	0	0
Impact on	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	9	7	6	7
Service Levels	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	10	8	6	6	7
and	Project coordinates well with other projects whether ongoing or					
	approved for near future	10	7	8	6	9
Other Projects						
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	9	8	7	9
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	10	8	5	10
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	10	9	5	10
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to	40	^	_	0	_
	the public  Project is rated as best use of funding for category of project	10 10	9 10	5 8	<u>8</u> 5	9
	region is ration as best use of furiding for category of project	IU	10	0	3	9



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: Corbeil Date Scored: 9/26/2012 Project Score; 39.

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely Ealse, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely. True, Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates	16	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	na	D
10% of Score	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	na	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	3.	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
20% at Score	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	33x.2=6.6
	á	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)		
	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	
Economic Development 40% of Score	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though taxes and/or fees	10	] 38x 4 - 15.2
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	.8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	
20% of Score	bl.	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	thd	
	34	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or conomics of scale	may.	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	na	46x.2=9.2
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	na	
	1.7	Project will be low-maintenance	100	
	181	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project		
Impact on Service Levels and	26	Project will bring service up to desired level	10.	
Relationship to Other Projects	21.	Project will improve service levels of other essets or functions	10	
10% of Score	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	10	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	80×.1=8
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	30	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal discuption & inconvenience to public	108	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	100	



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name; ECONOMIC DEVEL		IL SITE	
Scott	san I James	VIRE FERST GERNANNER	Service 2
CPAC Name: Scott Date Sucred	9/27/12	Project Score:	213

<u>Instructions:</u> Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project, Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely. True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is nither true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates	4	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	2	u
10% of Score	2/	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	2	, K
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
20% of Score	94	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study.	6	35
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	9	
	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	
Economic Development	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though tases and/or fees	9	35
40% of Score	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	7	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	
20% of Score	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	5	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	1-7
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	6	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	4	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	6	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	8	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unnecded by the proposed project	9	
Impact on Service Levels and	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	
Relationship to Other Projects	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	8	1
10% of Score	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either engoing or approved	7	STORE NAME
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	72
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	70
	26	Project is planned to create attinimal disruption & inconvenience to public	9	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DES	BLOPMENT / SENECA	RAIT CITE	
CPAC Name: Winchest Date Scored:		MALOHE.	
Winchesta Date Soored:	10-2-12	Project Score:	

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely Palse, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely Pros. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates		Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
10% of Score	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plaus	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	9	
20% of Score	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	
		b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	4	29
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	
Development	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances reveaues though tases and/or fees	10	e Arriva
40% of Score	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	40
	0	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	10	

Criteria		Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impaci	t I	General Fund budget	10	
20% of Score	1	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	10	
	LE TO	Project will be economically sustainable		1
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	6	1
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	9	81
	15	operation and maintenance budgets	8	54k
	16	Control of the Contro	8	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	8	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, fending, etc.)	4	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsoleto/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	8	
mpact on Service Levels and	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	7	
Relationship to Other Projects	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	6	
10% of Score	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	8	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area.	8	59
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	8	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	
	26	Project is pleaned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	5	
	22	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8,	



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name!	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	/SENECA RAIL SITE
-----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------

CPAC Name: PLAS Date Scored: 9-28-2012 Project Score: 164

Instructions: Evaluate each statement fisted in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 6 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely flatse, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely flats. Scores between 6 and 16 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria		Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score	
Public Health, Safety and Mandates	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	N/A		
10% of Score	27	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	NA	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10		
20% of Score	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9		
		b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	29	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5		
	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	8		
Economic Development 40% of Score	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though tases and/or fees	5		
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	30	
	9)	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8		

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	5	
20% of Score	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
EN HERMEN	12	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	NA	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets.	7	50
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	5	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	77	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	12	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	N/A	
Impact on Service Levels and	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	N/A N/A	
Relationship to Other Projects	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	MA	
10% of Score	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either engoing or approved	9	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	3.3
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	8	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9	



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE REVISION 1

CPAC Name: RAU Date Scored: 9-30-2012 Project Score: 115

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely. False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely. False. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria		Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score	
Public Health, Safety and Mandates	12	Project directly addresses a licalth and/or safety need	0	0	
10% of Score	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0		
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 28% of Score	*	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	20	
		Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10		
	4	b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	<u>0</u>		
	No.	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	ō		
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7		
	Ž	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though taxes and/or fees	10		
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	7		
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8		

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score	
Budgetary Impact	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8		
20% of Score	ī1	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5		
	42	Project will be economically sustainable	7		
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8		
	34	Project construction/sequisition now will result is significant savings or sconomies of scale	<u>.a</u>		
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance hudgets	<u>3</u>	33	
	16:	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	i de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición del composición dela comp	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	5		
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	\$		
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	Ö.		
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	Ö	N. L. B.	
	2)	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0		
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	<u>.0</u>		
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7	30	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5		
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5		
	26	Project is planted to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	8		
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5		



# Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Descrip	t Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE					
CPAC Name:	RAU	Date Scored:	9-21-2012	Project Score:	148	

<u>Instructions:</u> Evaluate each statement fisted in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely first, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely first. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is other true or false.

Criteria		Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates	L	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	a	o o
10% of Score	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	-0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3:	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	19	25
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	****	b Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	S	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	b I	
Economic Development 48% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7	
	B	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though taxes and/or fees	10	32
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	7	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score	
Budgetary Impact	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8		
20% of Score	13	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5		
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	7/		
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	h		
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5		
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	5	41	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0		
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	6		
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	8 130		
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	(O)		
Impact on Service Levels and	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	0		
Relationship to Other Projects	24	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0		
10% of Score	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	8		
	23	Project can be effectively enordinated	9	30-	
	24/	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10		
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of apportunity	s	* 5y	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	11.71	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of filinding for category of project	8		